ACCEPTED 01-20-00004-CR FIRST COURT OF APPEALS HOUSTON, TEXAS 8/11/2020 11:39 AM CHRISTOPHER PRINE CLERK

1st COURT OF APPEALS

Nos. 01-20-00004-CR and 01-20-00005-CR HOUSTON, TEXAS

8/11/2020 11:39:23 AM

In the Court of Appeals
For the First District of Texas
At Houston

8/11/2020 11:39:23 AM
CHRISTOPHER A. PRINE
Clerk

FILED IN

Nos. 1657519 and 1657521

In the 338<sup>th</sup> District Court Of Harris County, Texas

Ex parte Joseph Eric Gomez

**Appellant** 

Emergency Motion
For "Immediate Consideration and Decision"
Of State's Motion to Stay Mandates

## Clint Morgan

Assistant District Attorney Harris County, Texas State Bar No. 24071454 morgan clinton@dao.hctx.net

500 Jefferson Street, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: 713 274 5826

#### Kim Ogg

District Attorney Harris County, Texas

**Crystal Okorafor** 

Assistant District Attorney Harris County, Texas

# Rule 31.4 requires this Court to immediately consider and determine the State's motion to stay the mandate. The Rule does not allow a ten-day delay for a response.

This Court issued mandates and opinions in this case at the same time on the afternoon of Friday, August 7. On Monday, August 10, the State filed a petition for discretionary review in the Court of Criminal Appeals. The State then filed a motion in this Court, pursuant to Rule 31.4, to stay the mandates.

Today (August 11), this Court has requested a response from the appellant to that motion. The request gave the appellant until August 21.

Rule 31.4 does not allow this Court to wait ten days for a response. Rule 31.4 requires immediate determination.

The ordinary rule is that a court should not "hear or determine a motion until 10 days after the motion was filed." TEX. R. APP. P. 10.3(a). That Rule provides three generalized exceptions, one of which is if the motion is an emergency.

But Rule 31.4 provides its own specific timeline for a decision:

The clerk [of the appellate court] must promptly submit the motion and appendix to the court of appeals, or to one or more judges as the court deems appropriate, for immediate consideration and determination.

## TEX. R. APP. P. 31.4(b)(emphasis added).

This specific command for immediate consideration and determination controls over the more general requirement for a ten-day response time. *See* TEX. GOV'T CODE § 311.026(b) (where general and special statutory provisions are irreconcilable, special provision will prevail); *Mercier v. State*, 96 S.W.3d 560, 562 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2002, pet. struck) ("In order to construe a rule of appellate procedure, we use statutory construction.").

Alternatively, Rule 31.4's requirement of immediate determination could be read as an implicit statement that a motion to stay the mandate after a trial court's bail decision is reversed is an emergency, exempted from the ten-day requirement by Rule 10.3 itself. This Court's decision to immediately issue its mandate has released from the jail an individual the State and (and apparently the trial court) believes is a continuing threat to the victim, justifying a high bail amount. That is an emergency jeopardizing the safety of the community that requires a decision in less than 10 days.<sup>1</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this Court requested the response by August 21, a Friday, the earliest this court could decide after receiving the reply would be August 24, 17 days after the mandates issued.

Rule 31.4 emphasizes the need for immediacy with its remedy. If this Court denies the motion to stay the mandates, the State may present the motion to the Court of Criminal Appeals, and then the clerk of *that* court "will promptly submit [the motion] to the Court, or to one or more judges as the Court deems appropriate, **for immediate consideration and determination.**" TEX. R. APP. P. 31.4(c)(emphasis added). Rule 31.4 vests that Court with the authority to withdraw and stay this Court's mandate.

The only discretionary aspect apparent from the face of Rule 31.4 is determining whether the State is seeking review of this Court's ruling "in good faith." The State submitted its petition for review with its motion. The State has represented this petition was filed in good faith, and believes it shows "reasons why the Court of Criminal Appeals should review the appellate court judgment."

The State asks this court to consider and determine its Rule 31.4 motion immediately.

## Conclusion

This Court should immediately consider and determine the State's Rule 31.4 motion to stay the mandates.

**Kim Ogg**District Attorney
Harris County, Texas

/s/ C.A. Morgan
Clint Morgan
Assistant District Attorney
Harris County, Texas
500 Jefferson Street, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: 713 274 5826
Texas Bar No. 24071454

## Certificate of Compliance and Service

I certify that, according to Microsoft Word, the portion of this brief for which Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.4(i)(1) requires a word count contains 588 words.

I also certify that I have requested that efile.txcourts.gov electronically serve a copy of this brief to:

Brent Mayr bmayr@mayr-law.com Sierra Tabone stabone@mayr-law.com

Stanley G. Schneider stans3112@aol.com

/s/ C.A. Morgan **Clint Morgan** 

Assistant District Attorney Harris County, Texas 500 Jefferson Street, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: 713 274 5826 Texas Bar No. 24071454

Date: August 11, 2020

## **Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Clinton Morgan Bar No. 24071454 morgan\_clinton@dao.hctx.net Envelope ID: 45274337

Status as of 08/11/2020 11:45:16 AM -05:00

Associated Case Party: Joseph Gomez

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Stanley G. Schneider	17790500	stans3112@aol.com	8/11/2020 11:39:23 AM	SENT
Sierra Tabone	24095963	stabone@mayr-law.com	8/11/2020 11:39:23 AM	SENT
Brent Mayr		bmayr@mayr-law.com	8/11/2020 11:39:23 AM	SENT

Associated Case Party: State of Texas

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Clint Morgan		morgan_clinton@dao.hctx.net	8/11/2020 11:39:23 AM	SENT